

## Partial permutation decoding for codes from affine geometry designs

J. D. Key\*, T. P. McDonough and V. C. Mavron

*Abstract.* We find explicit PD-sets for partial permutation decoding of the generalized Reed-Muller codes  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(2(p-1), 3)$  from the affine geometry designs  $AG_{3,1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  of points and lines in dimension 3 over the prime field of order  $p$ , using the information sets found in [8].

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):* 05, 51, 94.

*Key words:* Codes, finite geometries, designs, decoding.

### 1. Introduction

In [7] we found  $s$ -PD-sets (see Definition 1) for  $s = 2$  and 3 for partial permutation decoding for the  $p$ -ary codes of affine planes of prime order  $p$ ; this was extended to projective planes. Since PD-sets are dependent on specific information sets for the codes, we were able to deal with the plane case by using information sets deduced from the bases found by Moorhouse [12]. Using new information sets found in [8], we extended these results to the codes from the designs of points and hyperplanes of affine and projective geometries of prime order, obtaining 2-PD-sets. We now use these information sets to find  $s$ -PD-sets for  $s = 2$  and 3 for the  $p$ -ary codes of the affine geometry designs  $AG_{3,1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  of points and lines in 3-dimensional affine space  $AG_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the  $2-(p^3, p, 1)$  design  $AG_{3,1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  of points and lines in the affine space  $AG_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , where  $p$  is a prime, and let  $C = \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(2(p-1), 3)$  be the  $p$ -ary code of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then  $C$  is a  $[p^3, \frac{1}{6}p(5p^2 + 1), p]_p$  code with information set*

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(i_1, i_2, i_3) \mid i_k \in \mathbb{F}_p, 1 \leq k \leq 3, \sum_{k=1}^3 i_k \leq 2(p-1)\}. \quad (1)$$

*Let  $T$  be the translation group of  $AG_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , let  $D$  be the group of invertible diagonal  $3 \times 3$  matrices, and let  $Z$  be the group of scalar matrices. For each  $d \in \mathbb{F}_p$  with  $d \neq 0$ , let  $\mu(d)$  be the associated dilatation. Corresponding to the information set  $\mathcal{I}$ , the code  $C$  has a*

---

\*This work was supported by the DoD Multidisciplinary University Research Initiative (MURI) program administered by the Office of Naval Research under Grant N00014-00-1-0565.

2-PD-set of the form  $T \cup T\mu(d)$  of size  $2p^3$  for  $p \geq 5$  and for some  $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ , and the group  $TD$  is a 3-PD-set for  $C$  of size  $p^3(p-1)^3$  for  $p \geq 7$ . (In fact, for the 2-PD-set, we can choose  $d = (p-1)/2$ .)

It should be noted that, when elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  occur in an inequality, they are being treated as integers in the interval  $[0, p-1]$ .

The proof of the theorem will follow in Section 3, after a section on some basic results, definitions and background. In Section 4 we obtain a new 3-PD-set for the  $p$ -ary code  $AG_{2,1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  of points and lines in the affine plane  $AG_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

## 2. Background

An incidence structure  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ , with point set  $\mathcal{P}$ , block set  $\mathcal{B}$  and incidence  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $t$ - $(v, k, \lambda)$  design, if  $|\mathcal{P}| = v$ , every block  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  is incident with precisely  $k$  points, and every  $t$  distinct points are together incident with precisely  $\lambda$  blocks. The code  $C_p(\mathcal{D})$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , is the space spanned by the incidence vectors of the blocks over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and is thus a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\mathcal{P}}$ , the full vector space of functions from  $\mathcal{P}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

The notation  $[n, k, d]_q$  will denote a linear code  $C$  of length  $n$ , dimension  $k$ , and minimum weight  $d$ , over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . A generator matrix for the code is a  $k \times n$  matrix made up of a basis for  $C$ . The dual code  $C^\perp$  is the orthogonal subspace under the standard inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , i.e.  $C^\perp = \{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid (v, c) = 0 \text{ for all } c \in C\}$ . A check matrix for  $C$  is a generator matrix  $H$  for  $C^\perp$ ; the syndrome of a vector  $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is  $Hy^T$ . Two linear codes of the same length and over the same field are *isomorphic* if they can be obtained from one another by permuting the coordinate positions. (See Huffman [6] for related, more general, concepts of isomorphisms of codes.) Any linear code is isomorphic to a code with generator matrix in so-called *standard form*, i.e. the form  $[I_k \mid A]$ ; a check matrix then is given by  $[-A^T \mid I_{n-k}]$ . The first  $k$  coordinates are the *information symbols* (or set) and denoted by  $\mathcal{I}$ , and the last  $n-k$  coordinates are the *check symbols*, denoted by  $\mathcal{C}$ . An *automorphism* of a code  $C$  is an isomorphism from  $C$  to  $C$ . The automorphism group will be denoted by  $\text{Aut}(C)$ .

For any finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of order  $q$ , the set of points and  $r$ -dimensional subspaces of an  $m$ -dimensional projective geometry forms a 2-design which we will denote by  $PG_{m,r}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Similarly, the set of points and  $r$ -dimensional flats of an  $m$ -dimensional affine geometry forms a 2-design,  $AG_{m,r}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . The *automorphism groups* of these designs (and codes) are the full projective or affine semi-linear groups,  $P\Gamma L_{m+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  or  $A\Gamma L_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , and are always 2-transitive on points. If  $q = p^e$  where  $p$  is a prime, the codes of these designs are over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and are subfield subcodes of the generalized Reed-Muller codes: see [1, Chapter 5] for a full treatment. The dimension and minimum weight is known in each case: see [1, Theorem 5.7.9].

*Permutation decoding* was first developed by MacWilliams [10] and involves finding a set of automorphisms of a code called a PD-set. The method is described fully in MacWilliams and Sloane [11, Chapter 15] and Huffman [6, Section 8]. We extend the concept of PD-sets to  $s$ -PD-sets for  $s$ -error-correction in [7], as in the following definition. This coincides with the use of the term  $s$ -PD-set in Kroll and Vincenti [9].

**DEFINITION 1.** If  $C$  is a  $t$ -error-correcting code with information set  $\mathcal{I}$  and check set  $\mathcal{C}$ , then a *PD-set* for  $C$  is a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of automorphisms of  $C$  which is such that every  $t$ -set of coordinate positions is moved by at least one member of  $\mathcal{S}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$ .

For  $s \leq t$  an  *$s$ -PD-set* is a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of automorphisms of  $C$  which is such that every  $s$ -set of coordinate positions is moved by at least one member of  $\mathcal{S}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$ .

That a PD-set will fully use the error-correction potential of the code follows easily and is proved in Huffman [6, Theorem 8.1], and that an  $s$ -PD-set will correct  $s$  errors follows in a similar manner. The algorithm for permutation decoding is given in [6, 11] or see [7]. Such sets might not exist at all, and the property of having a PD-set will not, in general, be invariant under isomorphism of codes, i.e. it depends on the choice of  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ . Furthermore, there is a bound on the minimum size of  $\mathcal{S}$  (see [5], [13], or [6]). This bound can be adapted to one for  $s$ -PD-sets by replacing in the formula for the bound, the variable  $t$ , that denotes full error-correction, by  $s < t$  for correction of  $s$  errors.

To obtain PD-sets, a generator matrix for the code needs to be in standard form, and thus the question of what points to take as information symbols arises.

We use the notation of [1, Chapter 5] or [2] for generalized Reed-Muller codes: (see [1, Definition 5.4.1]):

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $V = \mathbb{F}_q^m$  be the vector space of  $m$ -tuples, for  $m \geq 1$ , over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $q = p^t$  and  $p$  is a prime. For any  $\rho$  such that  $0 \leq \rho \leq m(q-1)$ , the  $\rho^{\text{th}}$ -order generalized Reed-Muller code  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\rho, m)$  is the subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^V$  (with basis the characteristic functions of vectors in  $V$ ) of all  $m$ -variable polynomial functions (reduced modulo  $x_i^q - x_i$ ) of degree at most  $\rho$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\rho, m) = \langle x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_m^{i_m} \mid 0 \leq i_k \leq q-1, \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m, \sum_{k=1}^m i_k \leq \rho \rangle.$$

These codes are thus codes of length  $q^m$  and the codewords are obtained by evaluating the  $m$ -variable polynomials in the subspace at all the points of the vector space  $V = \mathbb{F}_q^m$ .

The code  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{F}_p}((m-1)(p-1), m)$  is the  $p$ -ary code of the affine geometry design  $AG_{m,1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  of points and lines in affine space  $AG_m(\mathbb{F}_p)$ : see [1, Theorem 5.7.9]. Here we take  $m = 3$ , in which case  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(2(p-1), 3)$  is a  $[p^3, \frac{1}{6}p(5p^2+1), p]_p$  code over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

The information set we will be using was found in [8, Theorem 1, Corollary 2]:

RESULT 1. *If  $p$  is a prime, the code  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(v, m)$  has information set*

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \mid i_k \in \mathbb{F}_p, 1 \leq k \leq m, \sum_{k=1}^m i_k \leq v\}. \quad (2)$$

### 3. Proof of theorem

Before proving the theorem, we establish some notation. We will use  $\tau$  with an appropriate argument to denote translations in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $AG_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Thus,  $\tau(w) : v \mapsto v + w$ . If  $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$ , where  $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , we will also write  $\tau(w)$  as  $\tau(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ . For  $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}$ , let  $\delta(d_1)$  denote the mapping  $v_1 \mapsto d_1 v_1$ , for  $v_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and let  $\delta(d_1, d_2, d_3)$  denote the mapping  $(v_1, v_2, v_3) \mapsto (d_1 v_1, d_2 v_2, d_3 v_3)$ , for  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ .

We begin the proof of Theorem 1 by establishing that there is a 2-PD-set of the stated form. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the check set of  $C$  corresponding to the information set  $\mathcal{I}$ , where

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(i_1, i_2, i_3) \mid i_k \in \mathbb{F}_p, 1 \leq k \leq 3, \sum_{k=1}^3 i_k \leq 2(p-1)\}$$

as in Equation (1). Let  $P'$  and  $Q'$  be two points. By a translation  $\tau'$ , we can take  $Q'$  to  $Q = (0, 0, 0)$  and  $P'$  to  $P = (a, b, c)$ .

If  $a, b \leq (p-3)/2$ , let  $w = (p-1-a, p-1-b, e)$  where  $e = p-1$  or  $p-2$  according as  $c \neq 1$  or  $c = 1$ . Clearly,  $P\tau(w) = (p-1, p-1, c+e) \in \mathcal{C}$  as  $c+e \neq 0$ . Also,  $p-1-a+p-1-b \geq p+1$  and  $e \geq p-2$ . So,  $Q\tau(w) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

If  $a, b \geq (p+3)/2$ , let  $w = (p-1, p-1, e)$  where  $e = p-1-c$  or  $p-2-c$  according as  $c \neq p-1$  or  $c = p-1$ . Then,  $Q\tau(w) = (p-1, p-1, e) \in \mathcal{C}$  as  $e \neq 0$ . Since  $P\tau(w) = (a-1, b-1, c+e)$  and  $a+b-2 \geq p+1$  and  $c+e \geq p-2$ ,  $P\tau(w) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

If  $a \leq (p-3)/2$ ,  $b \geq (p-1)/2$ , and  $c = (p-1)/2$ , let  $w = (p-1-a, p-1, (p-1)/2)$ . Clearly,  $Q\tau(w) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Also,  $P\tau(w) = (p-1, b-1, p-1) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

If  $a \leq (p+1)/2$ ,  $b \geq (p+3)/2$ , and  $c = (p+1)/2$ , let  $w = (p-1-a, p-1, p-1)$ . Clearly,  $Q\tau(w) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Also,  $P\tau(w) = (p-1, b-1, (p-1)/2)$ . Since  $b-1 \geq (p+1)/2$ ,  $P\tau(w) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

If  $a \geq (p+5)/2$  and  $b = c = (p-1)/2$  let  $w = (p-1, p-1, p+2-a)$ . Clearly,  $Q\tau(w) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Also,  $P\tau(w) = (a-1, (p-3)/2, 3(p+1)/2-a) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

If  $a \leq (p-5)/2$  and  $b = c = (p+1)/2$  let  $w = ((p+3)/2, (p-3)/2, p-1)$ . Clearly,  $Q\tau(w) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Also,  $P\tau(w) = (a+(p+3)/2, p-1, (p-1)/2)$ . Since  $(p+3)/2 \leq a \leq p-1$ ,  $P\tau(w) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

These arguments can be applied to any permutation of the coordinates. So, in these cases, we can find a translation  $\tau''$  so that  $P'\tau'\tau'', Q'\tau'\tau'' \in \mathcal{C}$ . Hence, the only cases that remain are when at least two of  $a, b$  and  $c$  are in  $\{(p-1)/2, (p+1)/2\}$  and, if there is a remaining one, it is in  $\{(p-3)/2, (p+3)/2\}$ .

If  $p > 7$ , then none of  $2a, 2b$  and  $2c$  are in  $\{(p-3)/2, (p-1)/2, (p+1)/2, (p+3)/2\}$ . The preceding arguments show the existence of a translation  $\tau''$  for which  $P'\tau'\delta(2)\tau''$  and  $Q'\tau'\delta(2)\tau''$  are in  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $p = 5$  or  $p = 7$ , we can apply the same argument to  $a(p-1)/2, b(p-1)/2$ , and  $c(p-1)/2$ , even though the sets  $\{a(p-1)/2, b(p-1)/2, c(p-1)/2\}$  and  $\{(p-3)/2, (p-1)/2, (p+1)/2, (p+3)/2\}$  overlap. Hence, in these cases, there is a translation  $\tau''$  for which  $P'\tau'\delta((p-1)/2)\tau'', Q'\tau'\delta((p-1)/2)\tau'' \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Since the translations form a normal subgroup of the automorphism group of  $AG_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , we can write  $\tau'\delta(d)\tau'' = \tau\delta(d)$ , for some translation  $\tau$ . Hence, we have shown that  $T \cup T\delta(d)$  is a 2-PD-set for  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $d$  chosen as in the preceding paragraph. In fact, we could take  $d = (p-1)/2$  in all cases; the details are straightforward but would lengthen the proof. This completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

Next, we show that  $TD$ , the group generated by  $T$  and  $D$ , where  $D = \{\delta(d_1, d_2, d_3) \mid d_1, d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}\}$ , is a 3-PD-set for  $\mathcal{C}$ .

A translation can take any three points to the triple  $X = (0, 0, 0)$ ,  $P = (a, b, c)$ ,  $Q = (d, e, f)$  where not all of  $a, b, c, d, e, f$  are 0 and  $(a, b, c) \neq (d, e, f)$ . A point  $(a, b, c)$  is in the check set  $\mathcal{C}$  if, and only if,  $a + b + c \geq 2p - 1$ . The theme of the proof is to show that, by a non-zero multiplication and an addition on each coordinate position, the three entries (either  $[0, a, d]$ ,  $[0, b, e]$  or  $[0, c, f]$ ) in that position can be moved to three elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  corresponding to integers in the interval  $[(2p-1)/3, p-1]$ . If, in the  $i$ -th coordinate position, the multiplication is by  $d_i$  and the addition is  $w_i$ , then this mapping as effected by an element  $\delta(d_1, d_2, d_3)\tau(w_1, w_2, w_3)$  of  $DT (= TD)$  necessarily maps the triple  $X, P$  and  $Q$  into  $\mathcal{C}$ .

This approach needs to be modified for  $p = 13$  and fails to work for  $p = 7$ . In the case  $p = 7$ , we have checked the result with simple computer programs using Magma [3] and GAP [4].

We deal first with some easy cases. If all three entries are 0, then  $\tau(p-1)$  has the desired effect; that is,  $\tau(p-1)$  acting on the entries maps  $[0, 0, 0]$  to  $[p-1, p-1, p-1]$ . If two entries are 0 and one is nonzero, say  $[0, 0, d]$ , then  $\delta(d^{-1})\tau(p-2)$  has the desired effect. Thus, we need only consider triples with one 0 and two nonzero elements. These may be mapped, by a suitable nonzero multiplication, to  $[0, 1, g]$ , where  $1 \leq g \leq p-1$ .

We now subdivide the proof into two cases, according as  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  or  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ . We write  $p = 6m + 1$  in the former case and  $p = 6m + 5$  in the latter. Note that  $m \geq 1$  in both cases, since  $p \geq 7$ .

**Case 1:**  $p = 6m + 1$ . In this case,  $(2p - 1)/3 < 4m + 1$ . Since we do not consider  $p = 7$  here,  $m \geq 2$ .

If  $1 \leq g \leq 2m - 1$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\tau(4m + 1) = [4m + 1, 4m + 2, 4m + 1 + g]$  and  $4m + 1 < 4m + 1 + g \leq 6m$ . If  $4m + 3 \leq g \leq 6m$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\tau(6m - 1) = [6m - 1, 6m, g - 2]$  and  $4m + 1 \leq g - 2 \leq 6m - 2$ .

If  $2m + 2 \leq g \leq 3m$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\delta(2)\tau(6m - 2) = [6m - 2, 6m, 2g - 3]$  and  $4m + 1 \leq 2g - 3 \leq 6m - 3$ . If  $3m + 1 \leq g \leq 4m$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\delta(2)\tau(4m + 1) = [4m + 1, 4m + 3, 2g - 2m]$  and  $4m + 2 \leq 2g - 2m \leq 6m$ .

This leaves just four values of  $g$  to consider, *viz.*  $g = 2m, 2m + 1, 4m + 1, 4m + 2$ . Noting that  $4m + 4 \leq 6m$ , for  $g = 2m + 1$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\delta(3)\tau(4m + 1) = [4m + 1, 4m + 4, 4m + 3]$  and for  $g = 4m + 1$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\delta(3)\tau(4m + 1) = [4m + 1, 4m + 4, 4m + 2]$ . For the other two values of  $g$ , we require  $6m - 4 \geq 4m + 1$ ; that is,  $m \geq 3$ , *i.e.*  $p \geq 19$ . If  $g = 2m$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\delta(3)\tau(6m - 3) = [6m - 3, 6m, 6m - 4]$ . If  $g = 4m + 2$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\delta(3)\tau(6m - 4) = [6m - 4, 6m - 1, 6m]$ .

We now deal with the last two values of  $g$  when  $p = 13$  ( $m = 2$ ). For  $g = 4$ , note that  $[0, 1, 4]\tau(8) = [8, 9, 12]$ ,  $[0, 1, 4]\delta(9)\tau(12) = [12, 8, 9]$  and  $[0, 1, 4]\delta(3)\tau(8) = [9, 12, 8]$ . For any coordinate column of this type, we can choose a mapping in which one of the entries is 8 ( $= 4m$ ) while the others are  $\geq 4m + 1$ . Moreover, the  $4m$  entry can be made to appear in the image of any one of our triple of points  $X, P$  and  $Q$ . Similarly, for  $g = 10$ ,  $[0, 1, 10]\delta(3)\tau(8) = [8, 11, 12]$ ,  $[0, 1, 10]\delta(12)\tau(9) = [9, 8, 12]$  and  $[0, 1, 10]\tau(11) = [11, 12, 8]$ .

We can thus arrange that the image of each of the points  $X, P$  and  $Q$  has at most one entry equal to  $4m$  while the others are  $\geq 4m + 1$ . Hence, these images lie in  $\mathcal{C}$ . This completes the proof of Case 1.

**Case 2:**  $p = 6m + 5$ . In this case,  $(2p - 1)/3 = 4m + 3$  and  $m \geq 1$ .

If  $1 \leq g \leq 2m + 1$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\tau(4m + 3) = [4m + 3, 4m + 4, 4m + 3 + g]$  and  $4m + 3 < 4m + 3 + g \leq 6m + 4$ . If  $4m + 5 \leq g \leq 6m + 4$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\tau(6m + 3) = [6m + 3, 6m + 4, g - 2]$  and  $4m + 3 \leq g - 2 \leq 6m + 2$ .

If  $2m + 3 \leq g \leq 3m + 2$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\delta(2)\tau(6m + 2) = [6m + 2, 6m + 4, 2g - 3]$  and  $4m + 3 \leq 2g - 3 \leq 6m + 1$ . If  $3m + 3 \leq g \leq 4m + 3$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\delta(2)\tau(4m + 3) = [4m + 3, 4m + 5, 2g - 2m - 2]$  and  $4m + 4 \leq 2g - 2m - 2 \leq 6m + 4$ .

This leaves just two values of  $g$  to consider. If  $g = 2m + 2$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\delta(3)\tau(4m + 3) = [4m + 3, 4m + 6, 4m + 4]$ . If  $g = 4m + 4$ ,  $[0, 1, g]\delta(3)\tau(4m + 3) = [4m + 3, 4m + 6, 4m + 5]$ . This completes the proof of Case 2 and the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

We illustrate the method of proof for the 3-PD-sets with an example for  $p = 19 = 6m + 1$  where  $m = 3$  and  $4m + 1 = 13$ . Suppose our three points have been mapped by a translation

$\tau'$  to the points  $(0, 0, 0)$ ,  $(2, 11, 5)$ ,  $(3, 10, 7)$ . For the first coordinate triple  $[0, 2, 3]$ , the map  $\delta(10)$  takes this to the standard form  $[0, 1, 11]$  and the map  $\delta(2)\tau(13)$  takes this to the triple  $[13, 15, 16]$ . For the second coordinate triple  $[0, 11, 10]$ , the map  $\delta(7)$  takes it to  $[0, 1, 13]$  and the map  $\delta(3)\tau(13)$  takes this to the triple  $[13, 16, 14]$ . For the third coordinate triple  $[0, 5, 7]$ , the map  $\delta(4)$  takes this to  $[0, 1, 9]$  and the map  $\delta(2)\tau(16)$  takes this to the triple  $[16, 18, 15]$ . Note that  $\delta(10)\delta(2) = \delta(1)$ ,  $\delta(7)\delta(3) = \delta(2)$  and  $\delta(4)\delta(2) = \delta(8)$ . Thus, the element  $\tau'\delta(1, 2, 8)\tau(13, 13, 16)$  of  $TD$  will take our original three points to the points  $(13, 13, 16)$ ,  $(15, 16, 18)$ ,  $(16, 14, 15)$ , all of which are in the check set  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Note:** These codes have high rate  $\geq .83$ . The worst-case time-complexity for the decoding algorithm using an  $s$ -PD-set of size  $z$  on a code of length  $n$  and dimension  $k$  is  $\mathcal{O}(nkz)$ , as a simple counting argument shows.

#### 4. Affine planes

In [7, Proposition 4.5] we found 3-PD-sets of size  $2p^2(p-1)$  for the codes from the affine planes  $AG_{2,1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , using an information set different from the one we have used in Theorem 1. We show that this can be improved to  $p^2(p-1)$  using the set  $\mathcal{I}$  of Equation 1. This further leads to  $(m+1)$ -PD-sets for the codes of the designs  $AG_{m,m-1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , using [8, Proposition 4]

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the design  $AG_{2,1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  of points and lines in the affine plane  $AG_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and let  $C = \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(p-1, 2)$  be the  $p$ -ary code of  $\mathcal{D}$ . With information set*

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(i_1, i_2) \mid i_k \in \mathbb{F}_p, 1 \leq k \leq 2, \sum_{k=1}^2 i_k \leq p-1\},$$

*the group  $TZ$ , where  $T$  is the translation group and  $Z$  is the group of scalar matrices, is a 3-PD-set for  $C$  for  $p \geq 7$ , of size  $p^2(p-1)$ .*

*Proof.* We extend our notation  $\tau$  and  $\mu$  for translations and dilatations, as used in Theorem 1, to affine planes. Thus  $Z = \{\mu(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_p, a \neq 0\}$ . Let  $H = TZ$ .

Any three distinct points may be mapped by a translation to a triple of the form  $X = (0, 0)$ ,  $P = (q, r)$ ,  $Q = (s, t)$  where  $(q, r) \neq (0, 0)$ ,  $(s, t) \neq (0, 0)$  and  $(q, r) \neq (s, t)$ ; in particular,  $q \neq s$  or  $r \neq t$ . We may assume that  $q \neq s$ . The case  $r \neq t$  may be dealt with in a similar manner. We will show how to find maps in  $TZ$  that move such triples into the check set  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Since  $q \neq s$ , some element of  $Z$  will fix  $X$  and map  $P$  and  $Q$  into a pair  $P'$  and  $Q'$  of the form  $(a, b)$ ,  $(a+1, d)$ , for some  $a, b, d$ , where  $0 \leq a \leq p-2$ . If  $a \geq (p+1)/2$ ,  $\mu(p-1)$

will fix  $X$  and map  $(a, b)$  to  $(p - a, p - b)$  and  $(a + 1, d)$  to  $(p - a - 1, p - d)$ ; that is, to a similar triple with  $a \leq (p - 3)/2$ . Hence, we may assume that  $a \leq (p - 1)/2$ .

In this case,  $p - a - 2 \geq (p - 3)/2$ . The mapping  $\tau(p - a - 2, u)$  maps  $X, P'$  and  $Q'$  to  $(p - a - 2, u), (p - 2, u + b)$  and  $(p - 1, u + d)$ , which are in  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $a + 2 \leq u \leq p - 1$  and  $u \notin \{p - b, p - b + 1, p - d\}$ . Since  $a + 2 \leq (p + 3)/2$ , there are at least  $(p - 3)/2$  integers in the interval  $[a + 2, p - 1]$  of which at most 3 must be excluded. If  $p \geq 11$ , there is at least one value of  $u$  meeting these constraints.

The only case that remains is  $p = 7$ . We can apply the argument of the preceding paragraph if  $a = 0$  or  $a = 1$ . We are left with  $a = 2$  and  $a = 3$ .

The triple  $X, P'$  and  $Q'$  is mapped by  $\tau(5 - a, 6)$  into  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $b \neq 1$  or  $2$  and  $d \neq 1$ . If  $d = 1$ ,  $\tau(5 - a, 5)$  or  $\tau(6, 4)$  maps the triple into  $\mathcal{C}$  according as  $b \neq 2$  or  $b = 2$ . If  $b = 1$ ,  $\tau(5 - a, 5), \tau(3, 4)$  or  $\tau(6, 4)$  maps the triple into  $\mathcal{C}$  according as  $d \neq 2, d = 2$  and  $a = 2$  or  $d = 2$  and  $a = 3$ . If  $b = 2$  and  $a = 2$ ,  $\tau(3, 4)$  or  $\mu(6)\tau(1, 6)$  maps the triple into  $\mathcal{C}$  according as  $d \neq 3$  or  $d = 3$ . If  $b = 2$  and  $a = 3$ ,  $\mu(3)\tau(1, 6)$  or  $\mu(3)\tau(3, 5)$  maps the triple into  $\mathcal{C}$  according as  $d \neq 5$  or  $d = 5$ .

This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Note:** 1. We exclude  $p = 5$  since the code is only 2-error-correcting.

2. Using [8, Proposition 4], we can now construct  $(m + 1)$ -PD-sets of size  $p^m(p - 1)$  for  $AG_{m,m-1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , the design of points and hyperplanes in  $AG_m(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , for  $m \geq 2, p$  prime.

### Acknowledgement

J. D. Key thanks the Institute of Mathematical and Physical Sciences at the University of Wales at Aberystwyth for their hospitality, and the London Mathematical Society for financial support.

### References

- [1] E.F. Assmus, Jr and J.D. Key, *Designs and their Codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 103 (Second printing with corrections, 1993).
- [2] E.F. Assmus, Jr and J.D. Key, Polynomial codes and finite geometries, in *Handbook of Coding Theory*, V.S. Pless and W.C. Huffman, Eds., Volume 2, Part 2, Chapter 16, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 1269–1343.
- [3] W. Bosma and J. Cannon, *Handbook of Magma Functions*, Department of Mathematics, University of Sydney, November 1994, <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/>.
- [4] GAP. Groups, Algorithms and Programming, Version 4. The GAP Group, Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen, Germany and School of Mathematical and Computational Sciences, University of St. Andrews, Scotland. <http://www-gap.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/gap/>.
- [5] D.M. Gordon, Minimal permutation sets for decoding the binary Golay codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **28** (1982) 541–543.
- [6] W.C. Huffman, Codes and groups, in: *Handbook of Coding Theory*, V.S. Pless and W.C. Huffman, Eds., Volume 2, Part 2, Chapter 17, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 1345–1440.

- [7] J.D. Key, T.P. McDonough and V.C. Mavron, Partial permutation decoding of codes from finite planes, *European J. Combin.* **26** (2005) 665–682.
- [8] J.D. Key, T.P. McDonough and V.C. Mavron, Information sets and partial permutation decoding of codes from finite geometries, *Finite Fields Appl.* **12** (2006) 232–247.
- [9] H.-J. Kroll and R. Vincenti, PD-sets related to the codes of some classical varieties, *Discrete Math.* **301** (2005) 89–105.
- [10] F.J. MacWilliams, Permutation decoding of systematic codes, *Bell System Tech. J.* **43** (1964) 485–505.
- [11] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [12] G.Eric Moorhouse, Bruck nets, codes, and characters of loops, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **1** (1991) 7–29.
- [13] J.Schönheim, On coverings, *Pacific J. Math.* **14** (1964) 1405–1411.

*J. D. Key*  
*Department of Mathematical Sciences*  
*Clemson University*  
*Clemson SC 29634*  
*U.S.A.*  
*e-mail: keyj@ces.clemson.edu*

*T. P. McDonough and V. C. Mavron*  
*Institute of Mathematical and*  
*Physical Sciences*  
*University of Wales, Aberystwyth*  
*Ceredigion SY23 3BZ*  
*U.K.*

Received 12 January 2006