#### ON SHARP CHARACTERS OF TYPE $\{-1, 0, 2\}$

Alireza Abdollahi, Javad Bagherian, Mahdi Ebrahimi, Maryam Khatami, Zahra Shahbazi, Reza Sobhani, Isfahan

Received September 25, 2021. Published online October 6, 2022.

Abstract. For a complex character  $\chi$  of a finite group G, it is known that the product  $\operatorname{sh}(\chi) = \prod_{l \in L(\chi)} (\chi(1) - l)$  is a multiple of |G|, where  $L(\chi)$  is the image of  $\chi$  on  $G - \{1\}$ . The character  $\chi$  is said to be a sharp character of type L if  $L = L(\chi)$  and  $\operatorname{sh}(\chi) = |G|$ . If the principal character of G is not an irreducible constituent of  $\chi$ , then the character  $\chi$  is called normalized. It is proposed as a problem by P. J. Cameron and M. Kiyota, to find finite groups G with normalized sharp characters of type  $\{-1, 0, 2\}$ . Here we prove that such a group with nontrivial center is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order 12.

*Keywords*: sharp character; sharp pair; finite group *MSC 2020*: 20C15

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group,  $\chi$  be a (complex) character of G, and  $L(\chi)$  be the image of  $\chi$  on  $G - \{1\}$ . Put  $\operatorname{sh}(\chi) = \prod_{l \in L(\chi)} (\chi(1) - l)$ . It is known that for any complex character  $\chi$  of a finite group G, the order of G divides  $\operatorname{sh}(\chi)$ , see [3]. The pair  $(G, \chi)$ (or briefly, the character  $\chi$ ) is called *sharp* of type L if  $L = L(\chi)$  and  $\operatorname{sh}(\chi) = |G|$ . It is obvious that  $\chi$  is faithful whenever  $(G, \chi)$  is sharp. The pair  $(G, \chi)$  (or briefly, the character  $\chi$ ) is said to be normalized if  $(\chi, 1_G)_G = 0$ , where  $1_G$  is the principal character of G and the product  $(\chi, \theta)_G$  of two characters  $\chi$  and  $\theta$  of G is defined as:

$$(\chi,\theta)_G := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)\theta(g^{-1}).$$

DOI: 10.21136/CMJ.2022.0356-21

1081

The research of the third author was supported in part by a grant from School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM).

In [5], Cameron and Kiyota posed the problem of classifying normalized sharp pairs  $(G, \chi)$  of type L for a given set L of algebraic integers. The case that Lcontains at least an irrational value has been settled by Alvis and Nozawa, see [2]. However, there are few results for the case that L contains only rational integers, see [4], [5], [9], [10].

By [5], Propositions 1.2 and 1.3, if  $(G, \chi)$  is sharp of type  $\{l\}$  and normalized, then l = -1 and  $\chi = \rho_G - 1_G$ , where  $\rho_G$  is the regular character of G and if  $(G,\chi)$  is normalized and sharp of type  $L = \{l_1, l_2\}$ , where  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  are distinct rational integers, then  $(\chi, \chi)_G = 1 - l_1 l_2$  and  $l_1 < 0 \leq l_2$ . This implies that  $(\chi,\chi)_G = 1$  if and only if  $(G,\chi)$  is of type  $\{l,0\}$ , where l < 0;  $(\chi,\chi)_G = 2$  if and only if  $(G, \chi)$  is of type  $\{-1, 1\}$ ; and  $(\chi, \chi)_G = 3$  if and only if  $(G, \chi)$  is of type  $\{-1,2\}$  or  $\{-2,1\}$ . For the first case, some properties of G and  $\chi$  have been stated in [5], [11], and the sharp pairs of the second case have been given in [4]. Also the last case was settled for groups with nontrivial centers in [10], which was generalized to the case  $(\chi, \chi)_G = p$ , where p is an odd prime and  $L(\chi) = \{l, l+p\},\$ for l = -1 or 1 - p, see [12]. Furthermore, the normalized sharp pairs  $(G, \chi)$  of type  $L = \{\varepsilon, -3\varepsilon\}$ , where  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  and the center Z(G) of G is nontrivial, have been studied in [1]. In Problem 7.5 of [5], it is proposed to find finite groups Ghaving a normalized sharp character  $\chi$  of type  $L = \{-1, 0, 2\}$ . In this paper, we study these groups G under the additional hypothesis Z(G) > 1, and we prove the following theorem:

**Main Theorem.** Suppose that  $(G, \chi)$  is normalized and sharp of type  $L = \{-1, 0, 2\}$  and Z(G) > 1. Then G is isomorphic to the dihedral group  $D_{12}$  of order 12.

To prove our main theorem, we show that  $\chi$  is the sum of two distinct real valued irreducible characters of G, and  $\chi(1)$  is odd.

For groups with trivial center in Problem 7.5 of [5], we just consider simple groups having a normalized sharp irreducible character. In Lemma 2.1, simple groups with normalized sharp irreducible character of type  $L = \{-1, 0, 2\}$  are characterized, using the fact that there exist exactly three simple groups having a faithful irreducible character  $\chi$  with exactly four distinct values  $\chi(1)$ , -1, 0, 2, see [10].

# 2. Main results

Throughout this paper, G is a finite group having a normalized sharp character  $\chi$  of type  $L = \{-1, 0, 2\}$ . Set  $n := \chi(1)$ . Since  $\chi$  is sharp,  $|G| = n(n+1)(n-2) = n^3 - n^2 - 2n$  and  $n \ge 3$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose that G is a simple group. If  $\chi$  is irreducible, then n is even and G is isomorphic to either PSL(2,7) or  $A_7$ .

Proof. By [10], proof of Claim B6, there exist exactly three simple groups having a faithful irreducible character  $\chi$  which takes exactly four distinct values  $\chi(1)$ , -1, 0, 2. Those are PSL(2,7) with  $\chi(1) = 6$ , alternating group  $A_7$  with  $\chi(1) = 14$  and PSL(3,3) with  $\chi(1) = 26$ . The character  $\chi$  is sharp of type  $\{-1, 0, 2\}$ , for groups PSL(2,7) and  $A_7$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $g \in G$  and o(g) = 2.

- (1) If *n* is even, then  $\chi(g) \in \{0, 2\}$ .
- (1) If n is odd, then  $\chi(g) = -1$ .

Proof. By [4], proof of Proposition 3, if  $\theta$  is a rational valued character of a finite group  $G, y \in G$  and s is a prime, then  $\theta(y^s) \equiv \theta(y) \mod s$ .

(1) Since o(g) = 2, we have  $\chi(g) \equiv \chi(1) = n \mod 2$ . Therefore,  $\chi(g) \in \{0, 2\}$ .

(2) Note that  $\chi(g) \equiv \chi(1) = n \mod 2$ . Now since n is odd, it follows that  $\chi(g) = -1$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** If Z(G) > 1, then  $\chi$  is a sum of two distinct real valued irreducible characters of G.

Proof. Note that by [5], Proposition 1.3 (ii),  $(\chi, \chi)_G \leq 2$ . First assume that  $\chi$  is an irreducible character of G. Since  $\chi$  is faithful, it follows from [7], Lemma 2.27 (f) that  $Z(G) = Z(\chi) := \{g \in G : |\chi(g)| = \chi(1)\}$ . Therefore,  $\chi(g) = -n$  for every nontrivial element  $g \in Z(G)$ , which implies that n = 1. This is a contradiction and so  $(\chi, \chi)_G = 2$ . Hence,  $\chi = \chi_1 + \chi_2$ , where  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are distinct irreducible characters of G.

Since  $\chi$  is rational valued, it follows that  $\chi_1 + \chi_2 = \overline{\chi_1} + \overline{\chi_2}$ . As complex conjugate of an irreducible character is also irreducible and irreducible characters are linearly independent, it follows that either  $\overline{\chi_1} = \chi_2$  or both  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are real valued. First suppose that  $\chi = \chi_1 + \overline{\chi_1}$  is the sum of two complex conjugate irreducible characters of G. We show that  $\chi_1$  is faithful. Let  $g \in \ker(\chi_1)$ . Therefore,  $\chi(g) = \chi_1(g) + \overline{\chi_1(g)} =$  $\chi_1(1) + \chi_1(1) = \chi(1)$ , and so g = 1 since  $\chi$  is faithful. Hence,  $\chi_1$  is faithful. Now by [7], Theorem 2.32 (a), Z(G) is cyclic. Suppose that  $Z(G) = \langle z \rangle$  and o(z) = r > 1. As  $\chi = \chi_1 + \overline{\chi_1}$ , by [7], Lemma 2.27 (c), we have  $\chi(z) = \chi_1(1)(\xi + \overline{\xi})$ , where  $\xi$  is a primitive *r*th root of unity since  $\chi$  is faithful. As  $\chi(z)$  is rational, it follows that  $r \in \{2,3,4,6\}$ . If r = 2, then  $\chi(z) = -2\chi_1(1) \in \{-1,0,2\}$ , which is impossible. If r = 3, then  $\xi + \overline{\xi} = 2\cos(\frac{2}{3}\pi) = -1$  and  $\chi(z) = -\chi_1(1) \in \{-1,0,2\}$ , which contradicts  $n \ge 3$ . Now suppose r = 6. Then  $\xi + \overline{\xi} = 2\cos(\frac{1}{3}\pi) = 1$  and  $\chi(z) = \chi_1(1) \in \{-1,0,2\}$ . Therefore,  $\chi_1(1) = 2$ , n = 4 and |G| = 40. It is easy to check all groups of order 40 by GAP (see [6]) to see none of them have the requested property. Hence, r = 4 and  $Z(G) = \langle z \rangle \cong C_4$ . Then  $\chi(z^2) = \chi_1(1)(\eta + \overline{\eta})$ , where  $\eta$  is the primitive square root of unity. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2,  $\chi(z^2) = -2\chi_1(1) \in \{0, 2\}$ , which is a contradiction. Hence, both  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are real valued and this completes the proof.

In the sequel of the paper, we assume that  $\chi$  is the sum of two distinct real valued irreducible characters  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  of G.

# Lemma 2.4.

(1)  $Z(G) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{2} Z(\chi_i).$ 

(2) Z(G) is the direct product of at most two cyclic subgroups.

Proof. (1) Since  $\chi$  is faithful, the intersection of kernels of irreducible constitutes of  $\chi$  is trivial. Now (1) follows from the proof of [7], Corollary 2.28.

(2) Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{2} \ker(\chi_{i}) = 1$ , it follows that G can be embedded into  $\prod_{i=1}^{2} G/\ker(\chi_{i})$  and so Z(G) is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\prod_{i=1}^{2} Z(G/\ker(\chi_{i}))$ . By Lemma 2.27 (f) of [7],  $Z(G) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{2} Z(\chi_{i})/\ker(\chi_{i})$ . Now Lemma 2.27 (d) of [7] completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

# Lemma 2.5.

- (1) Z(G) is an elementary abelian 2-group of order at most 4.
- (2) If z is a nontrivial element of Z(G), then

$$(\chi_1(z),\chi_2(z)) \in \{(\chi_1(1),-\chi_2(1)),(-\chi_1(1),\chi_2(1))\}.$$

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2.4(1),  $Z(G) = Z(\chi_1) \cap Z(\chi_2)$ . Since both  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are real valued, it follows from [7], Lemma 2.27(c) that  $\chi_i(z) = \pm \chi_i(1)$  and so  $\chi_i(z^2) = \chi_i(1)$  for all  $z \in Z(G)$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Thus,  $\chi(z^2) = \chi_1(z^2) + \chi_2(z^2) = \chi(1)$  and so  $z^2 = 1$  since  $\chi$  is faithful. Now Lemma 2.4(2) completes the proof.

(2) By the proof of part (1) we have  $\chi_i(z) = \pm \chi_i(1)$  for a nontrivial element  $z \in Z(G)$  and i = 1, 2. Since  $\chi(z) = \chi_1(z) + \chi_2(z) \ge -1$  and  $\chi(z) \ne \chi(1) = \chi_1(1) + \chi_2(1)$  ( $\chi$  is faithful), the result follows.

# **Lemma 2.6.** $|Z(G)| \leq 2$ .

Proof. We first claim that there exists at most one element  $z \in Z(G)$  such that  $(\chi_1(z), \chi_2(z)) = (\chi_1(1), -\chi_2(1))$ . Suppose that there exist elements  $z_1, z_2 \in Z(G)$  such that

$$(\chi_1(z_1),\chi_2(z_1)) = (\chi_1(z_2),\chi_2(z_2)) = (\chi_1(1),-\chi_2(1)).$$

1084

Now we have  $\chi(z_1z_2) = \chi_1(z_1z_2) + \chi_2(z_1z_2)$ . By [7], Lemma 2.27 (c), there exists linear character  $\lambda_1$  of  $Z(\chi_1)$  such that

$$\chi_1(z_1z_2) = \chi_1(1)\lambda_1(z_1z_2) = \chi_1(1)\lambda_1(z_1)\lambda_1(z_2) = \chi_1(1)\lambda_1(z_2) = \chi_1(z_2) = \chi_1(1)\lambda_1(z_2) = \chi_$$

Similarly, we have  $\chi_2(z_1z_2) = \chi_2(1)$ . Therefore,  $\chi(z_1z_2) = \chi(1)$  and so  $z_1z_2 = 1$ . Hence,  $z_1 = z_2$  by Lemma 2.5(1), as we claimed.

By a similar argument one can prove that there exists at most one element  $z' \in Z(G)$  such that  $(\chi_1(z'), \chi_2(z')) = (-\chi_1(1), \chi_2(1)).$ 

Now Lemma 2.5(2) implies that  $|Z(G)| \leq 3$  and so by Lemma 2.5(1) we have  $|Z(G)| \leq 2$ .

**Remark 2.7.** In view of Lemmas 2.5 (2) and 2.6, whenever  $Z(G) \neq 1$ , we shall assume without loss of generality that there exists a (unique) nontrivial element  $z \in Z(G)$  such that  $\chi_1(z) = \chi_1(1), \chi_2(z) = -\chi_2(1)$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** Suppose that *n* is even and Z(G) > 1. Then (1)  $\chi_1(g) \in \{0, \pm 1, 2\}$  and  $\chi_2(g) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$  for all  $g \in G \setminus Z(G)$ . (2)  $\ker(\chi_1) = Z(G)$ . (3)  $\ker(\chi_2) = 1$ .

Proof. (1) By Remark 2.7 assume that there exists a nontrivial element  $z \in Z(G)$  such that  $\chi_1(z) = \chi_1(1), \ \chi_2(z) = -\chi_2(1)$ . Note that if  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is a representation corresponding to  $\chi_i$  for i = 1, 2, then  $\mathcal{X}_1(z) = I_{\chi_1(1)}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2(z) = -I_{\chi_2(1)}$  by [7], Lemma 2.27. Therefore,  $\chi(gz) = \chi_1(g) - \chi_2(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Thus,  $\chi(g) + \chi(gz) = 2\chi_1(g)$  and  $\chi(g) - \chi(gz) = 2\chi_2(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Now  $L(\chi) = \{-1, 0, 2\}$  implies that  $\chi_1(g) \in \{0, \pm 1, 2\}$  and  $\chi_2(g) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$  for all  $g \in G \setminus Z(G)$ .

(2) By Lemma 2.6, we may assume that z is the unique nontrivial element of Z(G). Since by Remark 2.7 we have  $z \in \ker(\chi_1)$ , it follows that  $Z(G) \leq \ker(\chi_1)$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that there exists an element  $x \in \ker(\chi_1) \setminus Z(G)$ . As in the proof of part (1),  $\chi(x) + \chi(xz) = 2\chi_1(1)$  and so regarding  $L(\chi)$  we have  $\chi_1(1) \in \{1, 2\}$ . On the other hand, by Remark 2.7 and Lemma 2.2,  $\chi(z) = \chi_1(1) - \chi_2(1)$  and  $\chi(z) \in \{0, 2\}$ . Hence,  $\chi_2(1) \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since  $n \ge 4$  is even, the only possibility is  $(\chi_1(1), \chi_2(1)) = (2, 2)$ . Therefore, n = 4 and |G| = 40. It is easy to check all groups of order 40 by GAP (see [6]) to see that none of them has the requested property, a contradiction. Hence,  $\ker(\chi_1) = Z(G)$ . (3) First we show that  $\ker(\chi_2) \leq Z(G)$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that there exists an element  $x \in \ker(\chi_2) \setminus Z(G)$ . Then as in the proof of part (1) for the unique nontrivial element  $z \in Z(G)$  we have  $\chi(x) - \chi(xz) = 2\chi_2(1)$  and so  $\chi_2(1) = 1$ . Since  $\chi(z) = \chi_1(1) - \chi_2(1) \in \{0, 2\}$  by Remark 2.7 and Lemma 2.2, it follows that  $\chi_1(1) \in \{1, 3\}$ . Since  $n \geq 4$  is even, it follows that  $(\chi_1(1), \chi_2(1)) = (3, 1), n = 4$  and |G| = 40. But  $\chi_1(1) = 3$  must divide |G|, a contradiction. It follows that  $\ker(\chi_2) \leq Z(G)$ . If  $\ker(\chi_2) = Z(G)$ , then by part (2) we have  $Z(G) = \ker(\chi_1) \cap \ker(\chi_2) = \ker(\chi) = 1$ , a contradiction. Hence, Lemma 2.6 implies that  $\ker(\chi_2) = 1$ .

## **Lemma 2.9.** If n is even and z is the nontrivial element of Z(G), then $\chi(z) = 0$ .

Proof. Let  $n = \chi_1(1) + \chi_2(1) = 2k$  for a positive integer k. Therefore, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.6,  $\chi(z) \in \{0, 2\}$  for the nontrivial element  $z \in Z(G)$ . Suppose that  $\chi(z) = 2$ . On the other hand,  $\chi(z) = \chi_1(1) - \chi_2(1)$ , by Remark 2.7. Therefore,  $\chi_1(1) = k + 1$  and  $\chi_2(1) = k - 1$ . Note that  $\chi_1(1) \mid |G : Z(G)|$ , by [7], Theorem 6.15. Using Lemma 2.6, it follows that k + 1 is a divisor of  $4k^3 - 2k^2 - 2k$  and so  $k + 1 \mid 4$ . Therefore, k = 1, 3. Note that  $n = 2k \ge 3$ . Hence, k = 3, n = 6 and |G| = 168. Now it is easy to check all groups of order 168 by GAP (see [6]) to see that the groups of order 168 have no sharp character of type  $L = \{-1, 0, 2\}$  with the requested property, a contradiction. Therefore,  $\chi(z) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** If n is odd and Z(G) > 1, then  $G \cong D_{12}$ .

Proof. Let n = 2k+1 for a positive integer k. Therefore, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.6, |Z(G)| = 2 and  $\chi(z) = -1$  for the nontrivial element  $z \in Z(G)$ . On the other hand,  $\chi(z) = \chi_1(1) - \chi_2(1)$ , by Remark 2.7. Therefore,  $\chi_1(1) = k$  and  $\chi_2(1) = k+1$  are divisors of  $|G| = 8k^3 + 8k^2 - 2k - 2$ . Hence, k = 1, 2.

If k = 1, then |G| = 12. Now using GAP (see [6]), it is easy to see that  $G \cong D_{12}$ . If k = 2, then |G| = 90. By using GAP (see [6]), one can see that the groups of order 90 have no sharp character of type  $L = \{-1, 0, 2\}$  with the requested property.  $\Box$ 

Proof of the Main Theorem. By Lemma 2.3,  $\chi$  is the sum of two distinct real valued irreducible characters  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  of G. First suppose  $n = \chi_1(1) + \chi_2(1) = 2k$  for a positive integer k. By Remark 2.7 and Lemmas 2.6 and 2.9, we have  $\chi(z) = \chi_1(1) - \chi_2(1) = 0$  for the unique nontrivial element  $z \in Z(G)$ . Therefore,  $\chi_2(z) = -\chi_2(1) = -k$ . On the other hand,  $\chi_2(g) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$  for all  $g \in G \setminus Z(G)$ , by Lemma 2.8. Hence,  $L(\chi_2) \subseteq \{0, \pm 1, -k\}$  and by [5], Theorem 1.1,  $|G| \mid \prod_{l \in L(\chi_2)} (\chi_2(1) - l)$ . Thus,  $2k(2k+1)(2k-2) \mid 2k^2(k^2-1)$ . Therefore,  $4k+2 \mid k(k+1)$ . It is easy to see that (4k+2,k) = 1 or (4k+2,k+1) = 1. Hence,  $4k+2 \mid k$  or  $4k+2 \mid k+1$ , which is a contradiction. Thus, n is odd, and Lemma 2.10 completes the proof. Acknowlegements. We are grateful to the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions.

### References

- S. R. Adhami, A. Iranmanesh: On sharp characters of type {-1,3} or {-3,1}. J. Algebra Appl. 16 (2017), Article ID 1750004, 10 pages.
- [2] D. Alvis, S. Nozawa: Sharp characters with irrational values. J. Math. Soc. Japan 48 (1996), 567–591. zbl MR doi
- [3] *H. F. Blichfeldt*: A theorem concerning the invariants of linear homogeneous groups, with some applications to substitution groups. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 5 (1904), 461–466. zbl MR doi
- [4] P. J. Cameron, T. Kataoka, M. Kiyota: Sharp characters of finite groups of type {-1,1}.
  J. Algebra 152 (1992), 248–258.
- [5] P. J. Cameron, M. Kiyota: Sharp characters of finite groups. J. Algebra 115 (1988), 125–143.
   zbl MR doi
- [6] GAP Group: GAP Groups, Algorithms, Programming: A System for Computational Discrete Algebra: Version 4.11.0. Available at https://www.gap-system.org/ (2020).
- [7] I. M. Isaacs: Character Theory of Finite Groups. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, 2006.
   Zbl MR doi
- [8] M. Kiyota: An inequality for finite permutation groups. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 27 (1979), 119.
   zbl MR doi
- [9] S. Nozawa: Sharp character of finite groups having prescribed values. Tsukuba J. Math. 16 (1992), 269–277.
   Zbl MR doi
- [10] S. Nozawa, M. Uno: On sharp characters of rank 2 with rational values. J. Algebra 286 (2005), 325–340.
- [11] T. Yoguchi: On determining the sharp characters of finite groups. JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl. 11 (2008), 85–98.
- [12] *T. Yoguchi*: On sharp characters of type  $\{\ell, \ell + p\}$ . Kyushu J. Math. 65 (2011), 179–195. zbl MR doi

Authors' addresses: Alireza Abdollahi, Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan 81746-73441, Iran, and School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), 19395-5746 Tehran, Iran, e-mail: a.abdollahi@math.ui.ac.ir; Javad Bagherian, Maryam Khatami (corresponding author), Zahra Shahbazi, Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan 81746-73441, Iran, e-mail: bagherian@sci.ui.ac.ir, m.khatami@sci.ui.ac.ir, z.shahbazi@sci.ui.ac.ir; Mahdi Ebrahimi, School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), 19395-5746 Tehran, Iran, e-mail: m.ebrahimi.math@ipm.ir; Reza Sobhani, Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Isfahan, 81746-73441, Iran, e-mail: r.sobhani@ sci.ui.ac.ir.

zbl MR doi