CSG RESEARCH GROUP

Best Known bounds of the permutation codes under Kendall au-metric

Definition: A *Permutation Code* of length n is a non-empty subset of S_n , the set of all permutations of $[n]:=\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Given a permutation $\pi:=[\pi(1),\pi(2),\ldots,\pi(i),\pi(i+1),\ldots,\pi(n)]\in S_n$, an adjacent transposition, (i,i+1), for some $1\leq i\leq n-1$, applied to π will result in the permutation $[\pi(1),\pi(2),\ldots,\pi(i+1),\pi(i),\ldots,\pi(n)]$. For two permutations $\rho,\pi\in S_n$, the *Kendall τ-distance* between ρ and π , $d_K(\rho,\pi)$, is defined as the minimum number of adjacent transpositions needed to write $\rho\pi^{-1}$ as their product. Note that $d_K(\rho,\pi)\leq {n\choose 2}$ for all $\rho,\pi\in S_n$. The maximum size of a permutation code of length n and minimum Kendall τ -distance at least d is denoted by P(n,d).

In the following, we present the best known bounds of P(n,d). Note that the green color shows the exact value of P(n,d) and the blue and yellow colors show the best known upper and lower bounds of P(n,d), respectively. Also the withe color shows the previously known bounds which are not currently the best one.

Note: There exist some results on the exact value of P(n, d) as follows:

- $\checkmark P(n, 1) = n!$
- $\checkmark P(n,2) = \frac{n!}{2}$
- ✓ If $2/3 \binom{n}{2} < d \le \binom{n}{2}$, then P(n, d) = 2 (see [4]).
- ✓ If $n \ge 6$ and $3/5 \binom{n}{2} < d \le 2/3 \binom{n}{2}$, then P(n, d) = 4 (see [2]).

Then, for each $n \ge 3$, we present the best known bounds on P(n,d) for all $3 \le d \le 3/5 \binom{n}{2}$.

- P(3,3) = 2 (see [5])
- P(4,3) = 5 and P(4,4) = 3 (see [5])
- n = 5 (see [6])

CSG RESEARCH GROUP

d	3	4	5	6
P(5,d)	20	12	6	5

• n = 6

d	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
P(6,d)	$\geq 102^{[6]}$	64 ^[6]	26 ^[6]	20 ^[6]	11 ^[6]	7 ^[6]	4 ^[6]
	$\leq 116^{[1]}$						

• n = 7

d	3	4	5	6	7	8
Lower Bound	588 ^[4]	336 ^[3]	126 ^{[2]-[3]}	84 ^{[2]-[3]}	42 ^{[2]-[3]}	28 ^[2]
		315 ^[2]				
			110 ^[4]	55 ^[4]	34 ^[4]	17 ^[4]
		294 ^[4]				
Upper Bound	719 ^[4]	420 ^[4]	186 ^[4]	120 ^[4]	66 ^[4]	45 ^[4]
	716 ^[1]					
d	9	10	11	12		
Lower Bound	15 ^[2]	13 ^[3]	8 ^{[2]-[3]}	7 ^{[2]-[3]}		
		12 ^[2]				
	14 ^[4]		2 ^[2]	2 ^[2]		
		7 ^[4]				
Upper Bound	28 ^[4]	21 ^[4]	12 ^[4]	8 ^[4]		

CSG RESEARCH GROUP

• n = 8

d	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Lower	3752 ^[3]	2240 ^[3]	672 ^{[2]-[3]}	448 ^[3]	168 ^{[2]-[3]}	115 ^[3]	57 ^[3]	48 ^[2]
Bound	3696 ^[2]	2184 ^[2]		392 ^[2]		112 ^[2]	48 ^[2]	43 ^[3]
Upper	5039 ^[2]	2880 ^[2]	1152 ^[2]	720 ^[2]	363 ^[2]	242 ^[2]	141 ^[2]	99 ^[2]
Bound								
d	11	12	13	14	15	16		
Lower	26 ^[3]	24 ^[2]	15 ^[3]	14 ^[2]	8 ^{[3]-[2]}	8 ^[2]		
Bound	24 ^[2]	21 ^[3]	14 ^[2]	12 ^[3]				
Upper	64 ^[2]	47 ^[2]	32 ^[2]	25 ^[2]	10 ^[2]	8 ^[2]		
Bound								

- [1] A. Abdollahi, J. Bagherian, F. Jafari, M. Khatami, F. Parvaresh and R. Sobhani, New upper bounds on the size of permutation codes under Kendall τ -metric, Cryptogr. Commun., (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12095-023-00642-6
- [2] A. Abdollahi, J. Bagherian, F. Jafari, M. Khatami, F. Parvaresh and R. Sobhani, New table of bounds on permutation codes under Kendall τ -Metric, Iran Workshop on Communication and Information Theory (IWCIT) (2023).
- [3] S. Bereg, W. Bumpass, M. Haghpanah, B. Malouf and I.H. Sudborough, Improved permutation arrays for Kendall Tau metric. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.11423* (2023).
- [4] S. Buzaglo and T. Etzion, Bounds on the size of permutation codes with the Kendall τ -metric, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 61 (2015), No. 6, 3241-3250.
- [5] A. Jiang, R. Mateescu, M. Schwartz, and J. Bruck, Correcting charge-constrained errors in the rank-modulation scheme, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 56 (2010), 2112-2120.
- [6] S. Vijayakumaran, Largest permutation codes with the Kendall τ -metric in S5 and S6, IEEE Comm. Letters, 20 (2016), No. 10, 1912-1915.

Compiler: Fatemeh Jafari